

MEETING SUMMARY – ACTION TAKEN REPORT

July 23-24, 2008 – Los Angeles, California

Wednesday, July 23, 2008

<u>TAB #</u>	<u>REF. #</u>	<u>ITEM DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>STATUS</u>	<u>CT Follow-up Required</u>
1	1.1	Roll Call	All present the first day except Commissioners Alvarado. Commissioners Alvarado, Frommer and Ghielmetti were out the second day.	
2	1.2	Approval of Minutes for June 25-26, 2008	Approved.	
3	1.4	Commission Reports	No reports.	
4	1.5	Commissioners' Meetings for Compensation	Approved.	
5	1.3	Executive Director's Report	Topics included: Staffing update – new staff member Senior Planner Laurel Janssen and promoted Teresa Favilla to Senior Planner; CTC 30 th Anniversary dinner announcements were distributed and will be held in the evening of September 24 in Sacramento; Northern Hearing for the Metro HOT lane proposal will be held at the Water Resources building in Sacramento on Monday, July 28, 2008; CTC meeting schedule for 2009 is proposed the 2 nd Wednesday/Thursday of each month – locations have not yet been determined, however most will be in parts of the State that will be within 30-40 minutes of an airport.	
6	1.6	Report by Agency Secretary and/or Deputy Secretary Bourgart	Information only. Topics included: HOV/HOT lane kick-off meeting on July 1 in Sacramento to discuss proposed policy and business plan; industry expansion meeting held – working together (private and public) to make sure there is adequate workforce; re-authorization principles document – seeking endorsers to support document – CSAC, CALCOG, Auto clubs, etc.	



MEETING SUMMARY – ACTION TAKEN REPORT

July 23-24, 2008 – Los Angeles, California

<u>TAB #</u>	<u>REF. #</u>	<u>ITEM DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>STATUS</u>	<u>CT Follow-up Required</u>
7	1.7	Report by Caltrans' Director and/or Deputy Director – Chief Deputy Director Iwasaki	<p>Information only.</p> <p>Topics included: Staffing changes – Terry Abbott appointed as Chief for the Division of Design, Earl Seaberg will be acting Chief for Local Assistance;</p> <p>At a speech on Prop 1B activities, Governor Schwarzenegger acknowledged efforts of the Department; FY 2008-09 Budget, adjustments should have relatively minor impact unless Prop 42 is suspended -- Commission may need re-evaluate funding plan; continued concerns over lack of TCRP funding given the level of need over the next couple of years; update to GoCalifornia industry capacity expansion effort.</p>	
8	1.8	Report by Regional Agencies Moderator	<p>Information only.</p> <p>Topics included: Encouraged the Commission to do everything in its power to send the message to the Legislature opposing suspension of Prop 42 funds; TCRP status and the concerns with funding and repayment of LONPs; TCRP working group to meet and discuss projects and come to consensus on how to move forward; concerns that the current mandate to fund seismic bridge retrofit projects is creating a backlog where projects are delayed and non-seismic projects that are ready to go are not receiving funding; status of the State budget and impact on STIP and TCRP; created sub-group to work with ARB staff to determine and develop specific comments, concerns and recommendations regarding regional targets and other aspects dealing with greenhouse gas emissions.</p>	
9	1.9	Report by Rural Counties Task Force Chair	<p>Information only.</p> <p>Topics included: Concern with</p>	



MEETING SUMMARY – ACTION TAKEN REPORT

July 23-24, 2008 – Los Angeles, California

<u>TAB #</u>	<u>REF. #</u>	<u>ITEM DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>STATUS</u>	<u>CT</u> <u>Follow-up</u> <u>Required</u>
			possible suspension of Prop 42 funding. Rural agencies do not have the revenue stream to keep projects moving without these funds. Rural agencies are only now able to address the cost increases from the last Prop 42 diversion. Many of the agencies are depending on the Prop 42 funds to use in conjunction with funds from new bond programs. Transportation community and partners need to work closely to get the word out.	
10	1.10	Report by Self-Help Counties Coalition Chair	Information only. The main topic of discussion focused around the diversion of Prop 42 funds, specifically, that is it fiscally irresponsible and does nothing to solve the structural deficit, that it is an affront to the voters of the State of California, that it would delay or kill many high priority transportation and transit projects, and that it would stall economic growth and eliminate thousands of jobs.	
11	1.11	Welcome by the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority and Video Presentation	Information only. Discussion focused on the issue of equity (those without \$\$ to get into the toll lane), the additional choices it provides the public, federal pressures to meet requirements. Deputy Secretary Bourgart indicated that the proposal is in line with the strategic plan and provides a means for managing congestion, maximizes capacity, provides choices for drivers, generates revenue, adheres to the principles of “users pay”, and makes use of \$210 million of federal funds for this type of activity that would otherwise be put to use by another state.	



MEETING SUMMARY – ACTION TAKEN REPORT

July 23-24, 2008 – Los Angeles, California

<u>TAB #</u>	<u>REF. #</u>	<u>ITEM DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>STATUS</u>	<u>CT</u> <u>Follow-up</u> <u>Required</u>
12	4.3	AB 1467 Hot Lanes Eligibility – LA Metro <u>Resolution G-08-15</u>	Commission adopted a finding that the proposed project is eligible under the requirements of AB 1467. The Northern Hearing will be held on Monday, July 28 in Sacramento at the Water Resources building.	
13	4.4	AB 1467 Hot Lanes Southern Hearing	Information only. One speaker representing Congresswoman Solis and one speaker from the Auto Club of Southern California expressed their concerns with the LA Metro proposal.	
14	4.11	Transportation Issues in the State of Washington	Information only.	
15	4.9	Preliminary 2008-09 Allocation Capacity	Information only. Discussion focused around the consequences if Proposition 42 is suspended. If Prop 42 is suspended, the 2008 STIP will need to be re-evaluated, with the possibility of a new fund estimate and the adoption of a revised STIP in January 2009. The level of impact to the STIP is depended upon how much of Prop 42 is suspended. Several Commissioners expressed concerns that the message regarding what projects may not get constructed if funding is not available is not reaching the Legislature. Do they know the consequences to the construction industry? What about the impacts to the receipt of federal funds. Executive Director Barna reported that if Prop 42 is suspended, staff would recommend that the Commission make no allocations, that they do a new fund estimate, and adopt a new STIP in January 2009. This will also affect the FTIP (non-compliance), therefore, no federal funds flowing to California.	



MEETING SUMMARY – ACTION TAKEN REPORT

July 23-24, 2008 – Los Angeles, California

<u>TAB #</u>	<u>REF. #</u>	<u>ITEM DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>STATUS</u>	<u>CT Follow-up Required</u>
			CTC staff to prepare letter and talking points that lays out all the implications if Prop 42 is suspended. Also include potential loss of other fund sources. Commissioner Tagami requested an update to Chart C.	
16 IC	2.5f.	Informational Reports on Allocations Under Delegated Authority (2.5f.) -- Emergency G-11 Allocations (2.5f.(1)): Three Projects totaling \$1,975,000. -- Seismic Retrofit G-11 Allocations (2.5f.(2)): One Project for \$6,210,000. -- SHOPP Safety G-03-10 Allocations (2.5f.(3)): Four Projects totaling \$5,507,000. (Correction to Agenda Vote List.)	Information only.	
17 IC	3.2	Monthly Report on Projects Amended into the SHOPP by Department Action (Correction to Book Item Attachment 2, page 1.)	Information only.	
18 IC	3.3a.	Monthly Status of Construction Contract Award for State Highway Projects, per Resolution G-06-08	Information only.	
19 IC	3.3b.	Monthly Status of Construction Contract Award for Local Assistance STIP Projects, per Resolution G-06-08	Information only.	
20 IC	3.3c.	Monthly Status of Construction Contract Award for TCRP Projects, per Resolution G-06-08	Information only.	
21 CC	2.1a.(1)	STIP Amendment 08S-002 The Department and the Bay Area Rapid Transit District (BART) propose to amend the STIP to add a new PTA eligible project, BART Seismic Retrofit of West Oakland Station and Aerial Structures, for \$10,000,000 IIP funds (PPNO 1014A) as an AB 3090 Cash Reimbursement project, for reimbursement in FY 2009-10, and FY 2010-11, and FY 2011-12. (Correct Agenda, Book Item and Book Item Attachment.)	Approved, as modified.	
22 CC	2.1a.(2)	The Sacramento Regional Transit District proposes to amend TCRP Project #116 - Route 80 Light Rail Corridor; double-track Route 80 light rail line for express service in Sacramento County to update the project schedule. (Related Item under Tab 50 [2.6e-(4)].) Resolution TAA-08-01 , Amending Resolution TAA-07-50	Approved.	



MEETING SUMMARY – ACTION TAKEN REPORT

July 23-24, 2008 – Los Angeles, California

<u>TAB #</u>	<u>REF. #</u>	<u>ITEM DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>STATUS</u>	<u>CT Follow-up Required</u>
23 CC	2.3c.	Four Relinquishment Resolutions -- 11-Imp-86-PM 21.7/R26.0 Route 86 in the county of Imperial Resolution R-3703 -- 3-Gle-32-PM 0.05/L0.30 Route 32 in the city of Orland Resolution R-3705 -- 10-Ama-88-PM 7.4 Route 88 in the county of Amador Resolution R-3706 -- 8-Riv-86-PM 2.68/18.32, 8-Riv-111-PM 18.48/20.05, -- 8-Riv-111-PM 20.13/25.12, 8-Riv-195-PM 0.00/6.45 Routes 86, 111, and 195 in the county of Riverside Resolution R-3707	Approved.	
24 CC 8 Ayes	2.4b.	42 11 Resolutions of Necessity Resolutions C-19930 through C-19944 C-19934 and C-19936 through C-19941 ➤ Resolution C-19935 (Floyd D. Fortuin, Trustee, etc., et al, 04-Ala-24-PM 6.21, EA 294909). Withdrawn prior to CTC meeting. Right of way contract has been signed.	Approved, as modified	
25 CC	2.4d.	Director's Deeds Items #1 through #8 and #10 Excess Lands - Return to State: \$2,632,165 \$19,165 Return to Others: \$0 ➤ Item 9 (#DD 300034-01-01, #DK 300034-01-02, \$2,613,000). Withdrawn prior to CTC meeting.	Approved, as modified.	
26 CC	4.7	Adoption of Rate for Local Government Matching of California Aid to Airports Program (CAAP) Grants Resolution G-08-12	Approved.	
27 CC	4.8	Adoption of the 2008 Aeronautics Program Resolution G-08-13	Approved.	
28	2.2a.	Notice of Preparation of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR): State Route 80 in Solano County – Truck scale facility relocation and improvements near Fairfield programmed in the TCIF. (NOP)	No comments on alternatives. Project sponsors and implementing agency may need to come back to the Commission with an amendment to the baseline agreement if the scope of the preferred alternative varies from the scope in the baseline.	
29	2.2c.	Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding: State Route 12 in Napa and Solano Counties programmed in the CMIA – Roadway improvements near the city of Napa (MND). Resolution E-08-08	Approved.	



MEETING SUMMARY – ACTION TAKEN REPORT

July 23-24, 2008 – Los Angeles, California

<u>TAB #</u>	<u>REF. #</u>	<u>ITEM DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>STATUS</u>	<u>CT Follow-up Required</u>
30	2.1c.(1a)	The Department and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority propose to amend the CMIA Project and Baseline Agreement for the Route 5 HOV Widening, Route 134 to Route 170 project (PPNO 0142F) in Los Angeles County to amend the funding plan and expand the scope. Resolution CMIA-PA-0809-001	Approved, as distributed at meeting on pink paper.	
31	2.1c.(1b)	The Department and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority propose to amend the CMIA Project and Baseline Agreement for the Route 5 HOV Lane from Orange County Line to Route 605 project (PPNO 2808) in Los Angeles County to amend the funding plan. Resolution CMIA-PA-0809-002	Approved, as distributed at meeting on pink paper.	
32	4.6	2008 STIP Technical Adjustment for Los Angeles CMIA Projects Resolution G-08-14 , Amending Resolution G-08-11	Approved.	

Thursday, July 24, 2008

<u>TAB #</u>	<u>REF. #</u>	<u>ITEM DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>STATUS</u>	<u>CT Follow-up Required</u>
33	4.2	TCIF Baseline Agreement	Deferred until August 2008 meeting. Submittals still under review by CTC staff.	
34	4.12	Public-Private Partnership <i>Deputy Secretary Bourgart Bruce Blanning (PECG)</i>	Information only. Lengthy discussion regarding the benefits and potential impediments in using public-private partnerships (PPP) to improve the State's transportation infrastructure. PPPs are already used in the areas of health and education. Private sector delivers public goods all the time. PPPs provide opportunity for competition and offers the opportunity for infusion of new money into the system. Others are concerned with a possible conflict when there is private money between obtaining a profit and what the public wanted. Commission Tagami inquired about sunseting, audit and control, firms with the ability to	



MEETING SUMMARY – ACTION TAKEN REPORT

July 23-24, 2008 – Los Angeles, California

<u>TAB #</u>	<u>REF. #</u>	<u>ITEM DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>STATUS</u>	<u>CT Follow-up Required</u>
			participate. Mr. Bourgart responded by indicating that sunseting would be entertained by the Administration, that audit and control is absolute – must have transparency, firms should be the best and be held accountable.	
35	4.5	Hearing - Proposition 1B Highway-Railroad Crossing Safety Account (HRCSA) Program	<p>Information only.</p> <p>Representatives from the Cities of Merced, Shafter, Bakersfield, Sacramento, Tulare, Berkeley, Ontario, Los Angeles, Santa Clarita, Irvine, Anaheim, Barstow, San Diego, and Encinitas spoke in support of their proposed projects.</p> <p>Additional speakers from the following agencies also testified in support of their proposals: Kern County, the Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority, the Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board, the Port of Stockton, the Tulare Association of Governments, Metrolink, and the San Diego Association of Governments.</p> <p>Commissioner Tagami requested that when staff is evaluating the proposals, have them consider access to life safety infrastructure (hospitals) when reviewing.</p>	
36	4.1	State and Federal Legislative Matters	Report accepted.	
37	3.1	Monthly Report on Toll Bridge Program Oversight Committee Activity	Information only.	
38	2.5g.(4)	Financial Allocation (2.5g.(4)): \$21,000,000 for Proposition 1B Local Seismic Bridge Retrofit Lump Sum. Resolution LBS1B-A-0809-001	Approved, contingent upon passage of the FY 2008-09 Budget Act.	



MEETING SUMMARY – ACTION TAKEN REPORT

July 23-24, 2008 – Los Angeles, California

TAB #	REF. #	ITEM DESCRIPTION	STATUS	CT Follow-up Required
39	2.1b.	STIP Amendment 08S-003 The Metropolitan Transportation Commission and the City of Berkeley propose to amend the STIP to designate the Ashby BART Station Intermodal Improvements project (PPNO 2009W) as an AB 3090 Reimbursement project. The City will use local funds to replace \$1,500,000 in FY 2009-10 RIP funds for Construction.	Information only. CTC staff recommended that this item be placed on the Consent Calendar at the August 2008 meeting.	
40	2.5c.(1)	Financial Allocation (2.5c.(1)): One State-administered STIP TE Project on Route 10 in Los Angeles for \$1,250,000. <i>Contingent upon passage of the FY 2008-09 Budget Act.</i> Resolution FP-08-02	Approved, contingent upon passage of the FY 2008-09 Budget Act with no suspension of Prop 42.	
41	2.5c.(2)	Financial Allocation (2.5c.(2)) Three Locally-administered STIP Projects on the State Highway System totaling \$42,960,000, as follows: -- One STIP project on Route 1 in the cities of Santa Cruz and Aptos for \$1,375,000. -- Two AB 3090 Reimbursement projects totaling \$41,585,000. <i>Contingent upon passage of the FY 2008-09 Budget Act.</i> Resolution FP-08-03	Approved, contingent upon passage of the FY 2008-09 Budget Act with no suspension of Prop 42.	
42	2.5c.(3)	Financial Allocations (2.5c.(3)): 28 Locally-administered STIP Projects off the State Highway System totaling \$24,446,000, plus \$1,324,000 from other sources, as follows: -- Two STIP projects totaling \$1,092,000. -- Four STIP Transportation Enhancement projects totaling \$4,531,000, plus \$1,324,000 from other sources. -- 22 STIP Planning, Programming, and Monitoring projects totaling \$18,823,000. <i>Contingent upon passage of the FY 2008-09 Budget Act.</i> Resolution FP-08-04	Approved, contingent upon passage of the FY 2008-09 Budget Act with no suspension of Prop 42.	
43	2.5c.(4)	Financial Allocation (2.5c.(4)): One Locally-administered Transportation Enhancement Project at the Salinas Intermodal Transportation Center for \$63,000 programmed in FY 2010-11. <i>Contingent upon passage of the FY 2008-09 Budget Act.</i> Resolution FP-08-05	Deferred.	
44	2.6a.	Financial Allocation (2.6a.): \$50,163,000 for two AB 3090 Reimbursement projects. <i>Contingent upon passage of the FY 2008-09 Budget Act.</i> Resolution MFP-08-01	Approved, contingent upon passage of the FY 2008-09 Budget Act with no suspension of Prop 42.	
45	2.5g.(3a)	Financial Allocation (2.5g.(3a)): One State-administered STIP Project on State Route 101	Approved, contingent upon passage of the FY 2008-09	



MEETING SUMMARY – ACTION TAKEN REPORT

July 23-24, 2008 – Los Angeles, California

<u>TAB #</u>	<u>REF. #</u>	<u>ITEM DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>STATUS</u>	<u>CT</u> <u>Follow-up</u> <u>Required</u>
		in the cities of Arroyo Grande and Pismo Beach for \$7,828,000. Resolution STIP1B-A-0809-001	Budget Act with no suspension of Prop 42.	
46	2.5g.(3b)	Financial Allocation (2.5g.(3b)): One locally-administered STIP Project off the State Highway System in Tulare County for \$11,500,000 \$7,855,000 , plus \$2,300,000 \$900,000 from other sources. Resolution STIP1B-A-0809-002 <i>(Correct Agenda, Agenda Vote List, Book Item and Book Item Attachment.)</i>	Approved, contingent upon passage of the FY 2008-09 Budget Act with no suspension of Prop 42.	
47	2.5b.	Financial Allocation (2.5b.): Seven SHOPP Projects totaling \$29,397,000, plus \$7,500 from other sources, as follows: -- Four projects programmed in the 2008 SHOPP totaling \$21,927,000, plus \$7,500 from other sources. -- Three projects totaling \$7,470,000 amended into the SHOPP by departmental action. <i>Contingent upon passage of the FY 2008-09 Budget Act.</i> Resolution FP-08-01	Approved, contingent upon passage of the FY 2008-09 Budget Act.	
48	2.5e.	Financial Allocation for supplemental funds for previously voted projects. One SHOPP project for \$955,000 to award the contract for the 06-Ker-395 project in Kern County. The current allocation is \$6,082,000. This request for \$955,000 results in an increase of 15.7 percent over the original allocation. Resolution FA-08-01	Approved.	
49	2.8a.	Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation for one SHOPP project to replace High Street Bridges (33-40L/R) in the county of Alameda for \$73,202,000. Waiver-08-29	Approved.	



MEETING SUMMARY – ACTION TAKEN REPORT

July 23-24, 2008 – Los Angeles, California

TAB #	REF. #	ITEM DESCRIPTION	STATUS	CT Follow-up Required
50	2.6e.	Financial Allocation (2.6e.): Thirteen TCRP Projects totaling \$200,696,000 (including reimbursement for seven LONP's). Resolution TFP-08---	Deferred until August 2008 meeting so that an allocation strategy can be established to address the TCRP funding shortfall. Commissioner Lindsey expressed concern whether or not the Commission has the authority to choose one project over the other in light of the limited funding provided each year. Executive Director Barna explained that the Commission needs to ask the Legislature to provide guidance. Ross Chittenden indicated that the Department is working hard to raise the issue with the Administration and the Legislature as well.	
51	2.8b.(1)	Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award for TCRP Project #97.1 – operational improvements on Shaw Avenue, Chestnut Avenue, Willow Avenue, and Barstow Avenue near California State University at Fresno in Fresno County, per Resolution G-06-08. Waiver-08-30	Approved.	
52	2.8b.(2)	Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award for one locally-administered STIP project for the County of Alameda for \$4,600,000, per STIP Guidelines. Waiver-08-31	Withdrawn prior to CTC meeting.	
53	4.10	Approval of Amendments to Proposition 1B Intercity Rail Bond Program List Resolution ICR1B-P-0809-01	Withdrawn prior to CTC meeting.	
54	2.5g.(8)	Financial Allocation (2.5g.(8)): \$8,500,000 for the Kings Park Track and Signal Improvements on the San Joaquin Corridor in Kings County. Resolution ICR1B-A-0809-01 , Amending Resolution ICR1B-A-0708-01	Withdrawn prior to CTC meeting.	
55	2.7	Financial Allocation (2.7): \$463,500 for FY 2008-09 California Aid to Airports Program (CAAP) funds for five projects from the 2006 Aeronautics Program. <i>Contingent upon passage of the FY 2008-09 Budget Act.</i> FDOA-2008-01	Approved.	



MEETING SUMMARY – ACTION TAKEN REPORT

July 23-24, 2008 – Los Angeles, California

Highway Financial Matters

\$ 29,397,000	\$ 29,397,000	Total SHOPP/Minor Requested Approved for Allocation
\$ 68,719,000	\$ 68,656,000	Total STIP Requested Approved for Allocation
\$ 48,828,000	\$ 36,683,000	Total Proposition 1B Bond Requested Approved for Allocation
\$ 955,000	\$ 955,000	Total Supplemental Funds Requested Approved for Allocation
\$ 147,899,000	\$ 135,691,000	Sub-Total Project Funds Requested Approved for Allocation
\$ 13,692,000	\$ 13,692,000	Delegated Allocations
\$ 161,591,000	\$ 149,383,000	Sub-Total, Highway Project Allocations
\$ 3,631,500	\$ 2,231,500	Contributions from Other Sources
\$ 165,222,500	\$151,614,500	Total Value

Mass Transportation Financial Matters

\$ 50,163,000	\$ 50,163,000	Total STIP Requested Approved for Allocation
\$ 200,696,000	\$ 0	Total TCRP Requested Approved for Allocation
\$ 250,859,000	\$ 50,163,000	Total State Allocations

